## Master Exam Version V3M

## First Name:

## Last Name:

Immatriculation Number: $\qquad$

Course of Studies (please mark exactly one):

- Informatik Bachelor
- SSE Master

Informatik Master

- Other:
$\qquad$

|  | Maximal Points | Achieved Points |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Exercise 1 | 8 |  |
| Exercise 2 | 16 |  |
| Exercise 3 | 10 |  |
| Exercise 4 | 10 |  |
| Exercise 5 | 12 |  |
| Exercise 6 | 4 |  |
| Total | 60 |  |
| Grade | - |  |
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## Exercise 1 (Theoretical Foundations):

Let $\varphi=\mathrm{p}\left(\mathrm{s}^{2}(0), 0\right) \wedge \forall X\left(\mathrm{p}\left(\mathrm{s}^{2}(X), X\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{p}\left(\mathrm{s}^{4}(X), \mathrm{s}^{2}(X)\right)\right) \wedge \neg \mathrm{p}\left(\mathrm{s}^{3}(0), \mathrm{s}(0)\right)$ and $\psi=\exists Y \mathrm{p}\left(\mathrm{s}^{6}(0), Y\right)$ be formulas over the signature $(\Sigma, \Delta)$ with $\Sigma=\Sigma_{0} \cup \Sigma_{1}, \Sigma_{0}=\{0\}, \Sigma_{1}=\{\mathrm{s}\}$, and $\Delta=\Delta_{2}=\{\mathrm{p}\}$. Here, $s^{2}(0)$ stands for $s(s(0))$, etc.
a) Prove that $\{\varphi\} \models \psi$ by means of SLD resolution.

Hint: First transform the formula $\varphi \wedge \neg \psi$ into an equivalent clause set.
b) Explicitly give a Herbrand model of the formula $\varphi$ (i.e., specify a carrier and a meaning for all function and predicate symbols). You do not have to provide a proof for your answer.

## Solution:

a)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi \wedge \neg \psi & \Leftrightarrow \mathrm{p}\left(\mathrm{~s}^{2}(0), 0\right) \wedge \forall X\left(\mathrm{p}\left(\mathrm{~s}^{2}(X), X\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{p}\left(\mathrm{~s}^{4}(X), \mathrm{s}^{2}(X)\right)\right) \wedge \neg \mathrm{p}\left(\mathrm{~s}^{3}(0), \mathrm{s}(0)\right) \wedge \neg \exists Y\left(\mathrm{p}\left(\mathrm{~s}^{6}(0), Y\right)\right) \\
& \Leftrightarrow \mathrm{p}\left(\mathrm{~s}^{2}(0), 0\right) \wedge \forall X\left(\neg \mathrm{p}\left(\mathrm{~s}^{2}(X), X\right) \vee \mathrm{p}\left(\mathrm{~s}^{4}(X), \mathrm{s}^{2}(X)\right)\right) \wedge \neg \mathrm{p}\left(\mathrm{~s}^{3}(0), \mathrm{s}(0)\right) \wedge \neg \exists Y\left(\mathrm{p}\left(\mathrm{~s}^{6}(0), Y\right)\right) \\
& \Leftrightarrow \mathrm{p}\left(\mathrm{~s}^{2}(0), 0\right) \wedge \forall X\left(\neg \mathrm{p}\left(\mathrm{~s}^{2}(X), X\right) \vee \mathrm{p}\left(\mathrm{~s}^{4}(X), \mathrm{s}^{2}(X)\right)\right) \wedge \neg \mathrm{p}\left(\mathrm{~s}^{3}(0), \mathrm{s}(0)\right) \wedge \forall Y\left(\neg \mathrm{p}\left(\mathrm{~s}^{6}(0), Y\right)\right) \\
& \Leftrightarrow \forall X, Y\left(\mathrm{p}\left(\mathrm{~s}^{2}(0), 0\right) \wedge\left(\neg \mathrm{p}\left(\mathrm{~s}^{2}(X), X\right) \vee \mathrm{p}\left(\mathrm{~s}^{4}(X), \mathrm{s}^{2}(X)\right)\right) \wedge \neg \mathrm{p}\left(\mathrm{~s}^{3}(0), \mathrm{s}(0)\right) \wedge \neg \mathrm{p}\left(\mathrm{~s}^{6}(0), Y\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, the equivalent clause set for $\varphi \wedge \neg \psi$ is

$$
\left\{\mathrm{p}\left(\mathrm{~s}^{2}(0), 0\right)\right\},\left\{\neg \mathrm{p}\left(\mathrm{~s}^{2}(X), X\right), \mathrm{p}\left(\mathrm{~s}^{4}(X), \mathrm{s}^{2}(X)\right)\right\},\left\{\neg \mathrm{p}\left(\mathrm{~s}^{3}(0), \mathrm{s}(0)\right)\right\},\left\{\neg \mathrm{p}\left(\mathrm{~s}^{6}(0), Y\right)\right\} .
$$

We perform SLD resolution on this clause set to show $\{\varphi\} \models \psi$.


Hence, we have proven $\{\varphi\} \models \psi$.
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b) We have $S \vDash \varphi$ for the Herbrand structure $S=(\mathcal{T}(\Sigma), \alpha)$ with $\alpha_{0}=0, \alpha_{\mathrm{s}}(t)=\mathrm{s}(t)$, and $\alpha_{\mathrm{p}}=\left\{\left(\mathrm{s}^{i+2}(0), \mathrm{s}^{i}(0)\right) \mid i \geq 0 \wedge i \neq 1\right\}$

## Exercise 2 (Procedural Semantics, SLD tree):

$$
(7+7+2=16 \text { points })
$$

Consider the following Prolog program $\mathcal{P}$ which can be used to replace the letter sequence 'ba' by 'zz': replace([], []).
replace([b,a|XS], [z,z|YS]) :- replace(XS, YS).
replace([X|XS], [X|YS]) :- replace(XS, YS).
For example, the query ?- replace ([b,a,b,a], Z) would give the answer substitution $\mathrm{Z}=[\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{z}, \mathrm{z}, \mathrm{z}]$. Due to backtracking it is also possible to leave (parts of) the word unchanged. Because of that the answer substitutions $\mathrm{Z}=[\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{z}, \mathrm{z}], \mathrm{Z}=[\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{z}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{a}]$, and $\mathrm{Z}=[\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{a}]$ are also possible.
a) Consider the following query:

```
?- replace([a,b,b,a], Res).
```

For the logic program $\mathcal{P}$ please show a successful computation for the query above (i.e., a computation of the form $(G, \varnothing) \vdash_{\mathcal{P}}^{+}(\square, \sigma)$ where $G=\{\neg$ replace $([a, b, b, a]$, Res $\left.)\}\right)$. It suffices to give substitutions only for those variables which are used to define the value of the variable Res in the query.
b) Please give a graphical representation of the SLD tree for the query

```
?- replace([a,b,b,a], Res).
```

in the program $\mathcal{P}$.
c) Modify the program $\mathcal{P}$ by inserting a single cut. No other modification is allowed. Your modified program must replace all ocurrences of 'ba' by 'zz'.
For example, now the query ?- replace ( $[\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{a}], \mathrm{Z}$ ) must have the only answer substitution $Z=[z, z, z, z]$.

## Solution:

a)

$$
\begin{aligned}
&(\{\neg \operatorname{replace}([\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{a}], \operatorname{Res})\}, \varnothing) \\
& \vdash_{\mathcal{P}}(\{\neg \operatorname{replace}([\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{a}], \mathrm{Y})\},\{\operatorname{Res} /[\mathrm{a} \mid \mathrm{YS}]\}\}) \\
& \vdash_{\mathcal{P}}\left.\left(\left\{\neg \operatorname{replace}\left([\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{a}], \mathrm{YS}{ }^{\prime}\right)\right\},\left\{\operatorname{Res} /\left[\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{~b} \mid \mathrm{YS} \mathrm{~S}^{\prime}\right]\right\}\right\}\right) \\
& \vdash_{\mathcal{P}}\left(\left\{\neg \operatorname{replace}\left([], \mathrm{YS} S^{\prime}\right)\right\},\left\{\operatorname{Res} /\left[\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{z}, \mathrm{z} \mid \mathrm{YS}^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}\right]\right\}\right) \\
& \vdash_{\mathcal{P}}(\square,\{\operatorname{Res} /[\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{z}, \mathrm{z}]\})
\end{aligned}
$$

Alternative:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (\{ᄀreplace ([a,b,b, a], Res) \}, } \varnothing \text { ) } \\
& \vdash_{\mathcal{P}}(\{\neg \text { replace ([b,b,a], YS) \}, \{Res / [a|YS]\}\}) } \\
& \left.\vdash_{\mathcal{P}}\left(\left\{\neg \text { replace }\left([\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{a}], Y S^{\prime}\right)\right\},\left\{\operatorname{Res} /\left[\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{~b} \mid \mathrm{YS}{ }^{\prime}\right]\right\}\right\}\right) \\
& \left.\vdash_{\mathcal{P}}(\{\neg \text { replace ([a], YS'’) }\},\{\text { Res / [a,b,b|YS'}]\}\right) \\
& \vdash_{\mathcal{P}}\left(\{\neg \text { replace ([], YS'’') }\} \text {, }\left\{\operatorname{Res} /\left[\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{a} \mid \mathrm{YS}{ }^{\prime \prime}{ }^{\prime}\right]\right\}\right) \\
& \vdash_{\mathcal{P}}(\square,\{\operatorname{Res} /[a, b, b, a]\})
\end{aligned}
$$

b)
replace([a,b,b,a], Res)

Res / [a|YS]
replace([b,b,a], YS)

YS / [b|YS']

YS' / [z,z|YS'’]
replace([b, a], YS')
replace([], YS'’)

YS'' / []


YS'' / [a|YS'’']
replace([], YS'"')

YS'’' / []
c) replace ([], []).
replace([b,a|XS], [z,z|YS]) :- !, replace(XS, YS).
replace([X|XS], [X|YS]) :- replace(XS, YS).

## Exercise 3 (Fixpoint Semantics):

Consider the following logic program $\mathcal{P}$ over the signature $(\Sigma, \Delta)$ with $\Sigma=\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{q}\}$ and $\Delta=\{\mathrm{p}\}$.
$\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{Z})$.
$p(q(Y), q(X), Z):-p(X, Y, Z)$.
a) For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ explicitly give $\operatorname{trans}_{\mathcal{P}}^{n}(\varnothing)$ in closed form, i.e., using a non-recursive definition.
b) Compute the set $\operatorname{lfp}\left(\underline{\text { trans }}_{\mathcal{P}}\right)$.
c) Give $F \llbracket \mathcal{P},\{\neg \mathrm{p}(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{Z})\} \rrbracket$.

## Solution:

Let $G$ be the set of all ground terms, i.e., $G=\left\{q^{i}(a) \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$.
a)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{trans}_{\mathcal{P}}^{0}(\varnothing) & =\varnothing \\
\underline{\operatorname{trans}}_{\mathcal{P}}^{1}(\varnothing) & =\{\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{a}, t) \mid t \in G\} \\
\underline{\operatorname{trans}}_{\mathcal{P}}^{2}(\varnothing) & =\{\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{q}(\mathrm{a}), \mathrm{q}(\mathrm{a}), t) \mid t \in G\} \cup \underline{\operatorname{trans}_{\mathcal{P}}^{1}}(\varnothing) \\
\operatorname{trans}_{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathcal{P}}(\varnothing) & =\left\{\mathrm{p}\left(\mathrm{q}^{2}(\mathrm{a}), \mathrm{q}^{2}(\mathrm{a}), t\right) \mid t \in G\right\} \cup \underline{\operatorname{trans}_{\mathcal{P}}^{2}}(\varnothing) \\
\vdots & \\
\underline{\operatorname{trans}_{\mathcal{P}}^{1}(\varnothing)} & =\left\{\mathrm{p}\left(\mathrm{q}^{i}(\mathrm{a}), \mathrm{q}^{i}(\mathrm{a}), t\right) \mid t \in G, 0 \leq i<n\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

b) $\operatorname{Ifp}\left(\right.$ trans $\left.\left._{\mathcal{P}}\right)=\left\{\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{q}} \mathrm{q}^{i}(\mathrm{a}), \mathrm{q}^{i}(\mathrm{a}), t\right) \mid t \in G, i \geq 0\right\}$
c) $F \llbracket \mathcal{P},\{\neg \mathrm{p}(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{Z})\} \rrbracket=\left\{\mathrm{p}\left(t, t, t^{\prime}\right) \mid t, t^{\prime} \in G\right\}$

## Exercise 4 (Universality):

Consider a function $f: \mathbb{N}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$. The function $g: \mathbb{N}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is defined as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g\left(k_{1}, \ldots, k_{n}\right)=k \text { iff } f\left(k_{1}+k, \ldots, k_{n}+k, k\right)=0 \text { and } \\
& \\
& \quad \text { for all } 0 \leq k^{\prime}<k \text { we have } f\left(k_{1}+k^{\prime}, \ldots, k_{n}+k^{\prime}, k^{\prime}\right) \text { is defined and } \\
& \\
& f\left(k_{1}+k^{\prime}, \ldots, k_{n}+k^{\prime}, k^{\prime}\right)>0
\end{aligned}
$$

As an example, consider the function $\hat{f}: \mathbb{N}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ with $\hat{f}(x, y)=\max \{x-4 y, 0\}$. The function $\hat{g}: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$, constructed as described above, computes $\hat{g}(6)=2$. The reason is that for $x=6,2$ is the smallest $y$ such that $\hat{f}(x+y, y)=0$. Indeed, $\hat{f}(6+\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{0})=\hat{f}(6,0)=\mathbf{6}, \hat{f}(6+\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})=\hat{f}(7,1)=$ $\mathbf{3}, \hat{f}(6+\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{2})=\hat{f}(8,2)=\mathbf{0}$.

Consider a definite logic program $\mathcal{P}$ which computes the function $f$ using a predicate symbol $\underline{f} \in \Delta^{n+2}$ :

$$
f\left(k_{1}, \ldots, k_{n+1}\right)=k^{\prime} \text { iff } \mathcal{P} \models \underline{\mathrm{f}}\left(\underline{k_{1}}, \ldots, \underline{k_{n+1}}, \underline{k^{\prime}}\right) .
$$

Here, numbers are represented by terms built from $0 \in \Sigma_{0, s} \in \Sigma_{1}$ (i.e., $\underline{0}=0, \underline{1}=s(0), \underline{2}=$ $\mathrm{s}(\mathrm{s}(0)), \ldots$.

Please extend the definite logic program $\mathcal{P}$ such that it also computes the function $g$ using the predicate symbol $\underline{g} \in \Delta^{n+1}$ (but without the cut or any other built-in predicate):

$$
g\left(k_{1}, \ldots, k_{n}\right)=k \text { iff } \mathcal{P} \models \underline{\mathrm{g}}\left(\underline{k_{1}}, \ldots, \underline{k_{n}}, \underline{k}\right) .
$$

## Solution:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \underline{\mathrm{g}}\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}, Z\right):-\underline{\mathrm{f}}^{\prime}\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}, 0, Z\right) . \\
& \underline{\mathrm{f}}^{\prime}\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}, Y, Y\right):-\underline{\mathrm{f}}\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}, Y, 0\right) . \\
& \underline{\mathrm{f}}^{\prime}\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}, Y, Z\right):-\underline{\mathrm{f}}\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}, Y, s(U)\right), \underline{\mathrm{f}}^{\prime}\left(s\left(X_{1}\right), \ldots, s\left(X_{n}\right), s(Y), Z\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Exercise 5 (Definite Logic Programming):

Implement the predicate noDupl/2 in Prolog. This predicate can be used to identify numbers in a list that appear exactly once, i.e., numbers which are no duplicates. The first argument of noDupl is the list to analyze. The second argument is the list of numbers which are no duplicates, as described below.

As an example, for the list $[2,0,3,2,1]$ the result $[0,3,1]$ is computed (because 2 is a duplicate). In Prolog the corresponding call noDupl $([s(s(0)), 0, s(s(s(0))), s(s(0)), s(0)]$, Res) gives the answer substitution $\operatorname{Res}=[0, \mathrm{~s}(\mathrm{~s}(\mathrm{~s}(0))), \mathrm{s}(0)]$.

In your implementation you may (only) use the following two predefined predicates:

- contained (X, XS) is true if and only if the list XS contains X.
- notContained (X, XS) is true if and only if the list XS does not contain X.

Important: You may not use the cut or any other predefined predicates in your implementation! However, you may implement auxiliary predicates.

## Solution:

```
noDupl(XS, Res) :- help(XS, [], Res).
help([], _, []).
help([X|XS], Seen, [X|Res]) :- notContained(X, XS), notContained(X, Seen),
    help(XS, [X|Seen], Res).
help([X|XS], Seen, Res) :- contained(X, Seen), help(XS, Seen, Res).
help([X|XS], Seen, Res) :- contained(X, XS), help(XS, [X|Seen], Res).
Not part of the solution, but useful to test:
```

```
contained(X, [X|_]).
```

contained(X, [X|_]).
contained(X, [_| XS]) :- contained(X, XS).
notContained(_, []).
notContained(X, [Y|ZS]) :- ne(X, Y), notContained(X, ZS).
ne(0, s(_)).
ne(s(_), 0).
ne(s(X), s(Y)) :- ne(X, Y).

```

\section*{Exercise 6 (Arithmetic):}

Tetration is the logical extension of multiplication and exponentiation:
\[
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { multiplication } & a \cdot n \\
\text { exponentation } & a^{n}:=\underbrace{a+a+\cdots+a}_{n} \\
\text { tetration } & a \uparrow \uparrow n:=\underbrace{a \cdot a \cdot a}_{n}
\end{array}
\]

Examples:
- \(4 \uparrow \uparrow 2=4^{4}=256\)
- \(1 \uparrow \uparrow 3=1^{\left(1^{1}\right)}=1^{1}=1\)
- \(2 \uparrow \uparrow 4=2^{\left(2^{\left(2^{2}\right)}\right)}=2^{\left(2^{4}\right)}=2^{16}=65.536\)

Implement the predicate tetration \(/ 3\) in Prolog. For numbers \(x>0, y>0\) the call tetration \((x, y, z)\) gives the answer substitution \(\mathrm{Z}=m\) where \(m\) is \(x \uparrow \uparrow y\).
As an example, tetration ( \(2,4, \mathrm{Z}\) ) gives the answer substitution \(\mathrm{Z}=65536\).
Your predicate only needs to work on input values \(x>0, y>0\), i.e., for other input values the result of the computation is irrelevant.
Hint: To compute \(x^{y}\) in Prolog you can use \(x * * y\).

\section*{Solution:}
```

tetration(X, 1, X).
tetration(X, Y, Z) :- Y > 1, A is Y - 1, tetration(X, A, B), Z is X**B.

```
```

