

- \succ *Reduction Order* iff \succ well founded, stable, monotonic, and transitive.
- \succ *Simplification Order* iff \succ reduction order and $\succ_{emb} \subseteq \succ$.

Thm. 4.4.2 (c) (follows from Kruskal's Theorem)

If \succ is stable, monotonic, transitive, irreflexive,
and it satisfies the subterm property $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \succ x_i$,
then \succ is a simplification order.

Lexicographic Combination

- $(s_1, \dots, s_n) \succ_{1 \times \dots \times n} (t_1, \dots, t_n)$ iff there exists an i with $s_i \succ_i t_i$
and $s_j = t_j$ for $1 \leq j < i$.
- \succ_1, \dots, \succ_n are well founded iff $\succ_{1 \times \dots \times n}$ is well founded.
- \succ_{lex}^n is n -fold lexicographic combination of \succ with itself

Lexicographic Path Order

Let \sqsupset be well-founded order on Σ (*precedence*). We have $s \succ_{lpo} t$ iff

- $s = f(s_1, \dots, s_n)$ and $s_i \succeq_{lpo} t$ for an i or
- $s = f(s_1, \dots, s_n)$, $t = g(t_1, \dots, t_m)$, $f \sqsupset g$, and $s \succ_{lpo} t_j$ for all j or
- $s = f(s_1, \dots, s_{i-1}, s_i, s_{i+1}, \dots, s_n)$, $t = f(s_1, \dots, s_{i-1}, t_i, t_{i+1}, \dots, t_n)$, $s_i \succ_{lpo} t_i$, and $s \succ_{lpo} t_j$ for all j .

$$\text{plus}(\mathcal{O}, y) \succ_{lpo} y$$

$$\text{plus}(\text{succ}(x), y) \succ_{lpo} \text{succ}(\text{plus}(x, y))$$

$$\text{times}(\mathcal{O}, y) \succ_{lpo} \mathcal{O}$$

$$\text{times}(\text{succ}(x), y) \succ_{lpo} \text{plus}(y, \text{times}(x, y))$$

$$\text{sum}(\mathcal{O}, y) \succ_{lpo} y$$

$$\text{sum}(\text{succ}(x), y) \succ_{lpo} \text{sum}(x, \text{succ}(y))$$