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Exercise 1 (Theoretical Foundations): \(3 + 3 + 4 = 10\) points

Let \(\varphi = q(0, s(0)) \land \forall X, Y (q(X, Y) \rightarrow q(s(X), s(Y)))\) and \(\psi = \exists Z q(s(Z), s(s(Z)))\) be formulas over the signature \((\Sigma, \Delta)\) with \(\Sigma = \Sigma_0 \cup \Sigma_1, \Sigma_0 = \{0\}, \Sigma_1 = \{s\}\), and \(\Delta = \Delta_2 = \{q\}\).

a) Prove that \(\varphi \models \psi\) by means of resolution.

*Hint: First transform the formula \(\varphi \land \neg \psi\) into an equivalent clause set.*

b) Explicitly give a Herbrand model of the formula \(\varphi\) (i.e., specify a carrier and a meaning for all function and predicate symbols). You do not have to provide a proof for your answer.

c) Prove or disprove that input resolution is complete for arbitrary clause sets.

Solution:

\[\varphi \land \neg \psi = q(0, s(0)) \land \forall X, Y (q(X, Y) \rightarrow q(s(X), s(Y))) \land \neg \exists Z q(s(Z), s(s(Z)))\]

We perform resolution on this clause set to show \(\varphi \models \psi\).

Thus, the equivalent clause set for \(\varphi \land \neg \psi\) is

\[
\{q(0, s(0))\}, \{\neg q(X, Y), q(s(X), s(Y))\}, \{\neg q(s(Z), s(s(Z)))\}\}
\]

b) We have \(S \models \varphi\) for the Herbrand structure \(S = (T(\Sigma), \alpha)\) with \(\alpha_0 = 0, \alpha_s(t) = s(t), \) and \(\alpha_q = \{s^i(0), s^{i+1}(0)\} \mid i \geq 0\}.

c) Consider the clause set \(\{p, q\}, \{p, \neg q\}, \{\neg p, q\}, \{\neg p, \neg q\}\). Using input resolution, we obtain the following resolution proof.
All further input resolution steps lead to already existing clauses and the empty clause cannot be derived. However, using full resolution, we obtain the following derivation of the empty clause.

Hence, input resolution is incomplete.
Exercise 2 (SLD tree): (9 points)

Consider the following Prolog program \( \mathcal{P} \) which can be used to sort a list of numbers using the *bubblesort* algorithm:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{bubble}(L, R) & :\!- \text{swap}(L, N), !, \text{bubble}(N, R). \\
\text{bubble}(L, L). \\
\text{swap}([A,B|L]), [B,A|L]) & :\!- B < A. \\
\text{swap}([A|L], [A|N]) & :\!- \text{swap}(L, N).
\end{align*}
\]

Please give a graphical representation of the SLD tree for the query \(?- \text{bubble}([2, 1], X)\). in the program \( \mathcal{P} \).

*Hint:* As usual, you should treat \(<\) as if it were defined by the infinitely many facts

\[
0 < 1. \\
1 < 2. \\
0 < 2. \\
\ldots
\]

**Solution:**

In this representation, the nodes and edges deleted by the cut are shown with a gray background and dashed edges, respectively.
bubble([2,1], X).  

\[ X = [2,1] \]  

\[ \text{swap}([2,1], N1), \neg, \text{bubble}(N1, X). \]  

\[ N1 = [2|N2] \]  

\[ 1 < 2, \neg, \text{bubble}([1,2], X). \]  

\[ \neg, \text{bubble}([1,2], X). \]  

\[ \text{bubble}([1,2], X). \]  

\[ X = [1,2] \]  

\[ \text{swap}([1,2], N5), \neg, \text{bubble}(N5, X). \]  

\[ N5 = [2,1] \]  

\[ 2 < 1, \neg, \text{bubble}([2,1], X). \]  

\[ \text{swap}([2], N6), \neg, \text{bubble}([1|N6], X). \]  

\[ N6 = [2|N7] \]  

\[ \text{swap}([], N7), \neg, \text{bubble}([1,2|N7], X). \]
Exercise 3 (Fixpoint Semantics): (3 + 3 = 6 points)

Consider the following logic program \( \mathcal{P} \) over the signature \((\Sigma, \Delta)\) with \(\Sigma = \{0, s\}\) and \(\Delta = \{gt\}\).

\[
\begin{align*}
gt(s(X), 0). \\
gt(s(X), s(Y)) & :- gt(X, Y).
\end{align*}
\]

a) For each \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) explicitly give \( trans_n^\mathcal{P}(\emptyset) \) in closed form, i.e., using a non-recursive definition.

b) Compute the set \( \text{lfp}(trans^\mathcal{P}) \).

Solution:

Let \( G \) be the set of all ground terms, i.e., \( G = \{s^i(0) \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\} \).

a) 
\[
\begin{align*}
trans_0^\mathcal{P}(\emptyset) & = \emptyset \\
trans_1^\mathcal{P}(\emptyset) & = \{gt(s(t), 0) \mid t \in G\} \\
trans_2^\mathcal{P}(\emptyset) & = \{gt(s(t), 0), gt(s^2(t), s(0)) \mid t \in G\} \\
& \vdots \\
trans_n^\mathcal{P}(\emptyset) & = \{gt(s^i(t), s^j(0)) \mid t \in G, 0 \leq j < i \leq n\}
\end{align*}
\]

b) \( \text{lfp}(trans^\mathcal{P}) = \{gt(s^i(0), s^j(0)) \mid i, j \in \mathbb{N}, i > j\} \)
Exercise 4 (Definite Logic Programming): (10 points)

Implement the predicate solve/1 in Prolog. This predicate can be used as a primitive SAT-solver for clause sets represented as lists of lists of literals. More precisely, a clause set is a list \( t \) of the form 
\[
[[l_1^1, l_2^1, \ldots, l_{k_1}^1], [l_1^2, l_2^2, \ldots, l_{k_2}^2], \ldots, [l_1^n, l_2^n, \ldots, l_{k_n}^n]]
\]

where all \( l_j^i \) are of the form \( \text{pos}(X) \) or \( \text{neg}(X) \) for some Prolog variables \( X \). The list \( t \) represents a set of clauses where \( \text{pos}(X) \) stands for the propositional variable \( X \) while \( \text{neg}(X) \) stands for its negation. A call \( \text{solve}(t) \) succeeds with a substitution satisfying the represented clause set \( t \) (by setting the variables to 1 or 0) if this set is satisfiable or fails if this set is unsatisfiable. If \( t \) does not represent a clause set as described above, then \( \text{solve}(t) \) may behave arbitrarily. You must not use any built-in predicates in this exercise. The following example calls to \( \text{solve}/1 \) illustrate its definition:

- \(?- \text{solve}([[\text{pos}(A), \text{pos}(B)], [\text{neg}(A), \text{neg}(B)]]). \) has the two answer substitutions \( A = 1, B = 0 \) and \( A = 0, B = 1 \) (the order of the solutions is up to your implementation)
- \(?- \text{solve}([[\text{pos}(A)],[\text{neg}(A)]]). \) fails

Hint: In this representation, a clause is satisfied if it contains at least one literal of the form \( \text{pos}(1) \) or \( \text{neg}(0) \). Moreover, a clause set is satisfied if all its clauses are satisfied. It might be useful to implement this predicate in a way that the following example calls work as described below, although this is not mandatory.

- \(?- \text{solve}([[\text{pos}(1), \text{pos}(B)],[\text{neg}(1), \text{neg}(B)]]). \) succeeds with the answer substitution \( B = 0 \)
- \(?- \text{solve}([[\text{pos}(1), \text{pos}(0)],[\text{neg}(1), \text{neg}(0)]]). \) succeeds with the empty answer substitution

Solution:

\[
\text{solve}([], \).
\text{solve}([C|CS]) :- \text{solveClause}(C), \text{solve}(CS).
\]
\[
\text{solveClause}([\text{pos}(1)|_]).
\text{solveClause}([\text{neg}(0)|_]).
\text{solveClause}([_|XS]) :- \text{solveClause}(XS).
\]
Exercise 5 (Arithmetic): (5 points)

Implement the predicate binomial/3 in Prolog. A call of binomial(t₁,t₂,t₃) works as follows. If t₁ and t₂ are integers with t₁ < t₂ or at least one of t₁ or t₂ is negative, then it fails. If t₁ and t₂ are non-negative integers with t₁ ≥ t₂, then t₃ is unified with the integer resulting from \( \binom{t₁}{t₂} \). If t₁ or t₂ is no integer, binomial/3 may behave arbitrarily.

Remember that the binomial coefficient \( \binom{n}{k} \) for non-negative integers n and k with n ≥ k is defined as
\[
\binom{n}{k} = \frac{n!}{k!(n-k)!}
\]
with 0! = 1.

The following example calls to binomial/3 illustrate its definition:

- ?- binomial(-3,2,X). fails
- ?- binomial(2,3,X). fails
- ?- binomial(3,2,X). succeeds with the answer substitution X = 3
- ?- binomial(3,2,1). fails

Solution:

\[
\text{binomial}(X,Y,Z) :- Y \geq 0, \\
X \geq Y, \\
\text{factorial}(X,XF), \\
\text{factorial}(Y,YF), \\
D \text{ is } X - Y, \\
\text{factorial}(D,DF), \\
Z \text{ is } (XF // (DF * YF)).
\]

\[
\text{factorial}(0,1) :- !. \\
\text{factorial}(N,F) :- N₁ \text{ is } N - 1, \\
\text{factorial}(N₁,F₁), \\
F \text{ is } F₁ * N.
\]
Exercise 6 (Meta-Programming): (10 points)

Implement the predicate map/2 in Prolog. A call of `map(t_1, t_2)` works as follows. If \( t_1 \) is a constant \( f \in \Sigma_0 \) and \( t_2 \) has the form \([a_1, \ldots, a_n]\), then the calls \( f(a_1), \ldots, f(a_n) \) are executed. That means we assume that there is also a predicate symbol \( f \in \Delta_1 \) (with the same name as \( f \in \Sigma_0 \)). Thus, \( \text{map}(f, [a_1, \ldots, a_n]) \) succeeds iff the query \( f(a_1), \ldots, f(a_n) \) succeeds. If \( t_1 \) or \( t_2 \) are not of the form described above, \( \text{map}/2 \) may behave arbitrarily.

For example, the query `?- map(foo, [a, b, c]).` is evaluated by executing the three calls `foo(a)`, `foo(b)` and `foo(c)`, while the query `?- map(foo, []).` succeeds immediately.

Hint: You may use the built-in predicate `=../2`.

Solution:

```prolog
map(_, []).
map(P, [X|XS]) :- G =.. [P, X],
        call(G),
        map(P, XS).
```

```prolog
test:- map(foo, [a, b, c]).
```

Exercise 7 (Constraint Logic Programming): (10 points)

A magic square is a matrix of dimension $n \times n$ containing all numbers from 1 to $n^2$ such that the sum of each row and of each column is $\frac{n(n^2+1)}{2}$. For instance, consider the following magic square of dimension $3 \times 3$:

$$\begin{pmatrix}
1 & 8 & 6 \\
9 & 4 & 2 \\
5 & 3 & 7
\end{pmatrix}$$

We represent such a square as a list of concatenated rows. For example, the above square would be represented as follows:

$[1, 8, 6, 9, 4, 2, 5, 3, 7]$

Implement a Prolog predicate `magic/1` such that the query `?- magic(L).` has exactly those lists $L$ as answers that represent a magic square of dimension $3 \times 3$. Thus, for a correct implementation we get the following answers to the query (the order of the solutions depends on your implementation):

?- magic(L).
L = [1, 5, 9, 6, 7, 2, 8, 3, 4] ;
L = [1, 5, 9, 8, 3, 4, 6, 7, 2] ;
L = [1, 6, 8, 5, 7, 3, 9, 2, 4] ;

...  

Hint: The query `?- magic(L).` has more than 70 solutions.

Hint: You may use constraint logic programming for your implementation, but you are not required to do so. Recall that the CLP library `clpfd` contains predicates like `all_different/1`, `label/1`, the infix predicate `ins/2`, ...

The following line is already given:

:- use_module(library(clpfd)).

Solution:

```prolog
magic(Sq) :-
    Sq = [E1, E2, E3, E4, E5, E6, E7, E8, E9],
    Sq ins 1..9,
    all_different(Sq),
    E1 + E2 + E3 #= 15,
    E4 + E5 + E6 #= 15,
    E7 + E8 + E9 #= 15,
    E1 + E4 + E7 #= 15,
    E2 + E5 + E8 #= 15,
    E3 + E6 + E9 #= 15,
    label(Sq).
```