

## Master Exam Version V3M

**First Name:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Last Name:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Immatriculation Number:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Course of Studies (please mark exactly one):**

- Informatik Bachelor**       **Informatik Master**  
 **SSE Master**                       **Other:** \_\_\_\_\_

|            | Maximal Points | Achieved Points |
|------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Exercise 1 | 13             |                 |
| Exercise 2 | 10             |                 |
| Exercise 3 | 11             |                 |
| Exercise 4 | 14             |                 |
| Exercise 5 | 6              |                 |
| Exercise 6 | 6              |                 |
| Total      | 60             |                 |
| Grade      | -              |                 |

**Instructions:**

- On every sheet please give your **first name**, **last name**, and **immatriculation number**.
- You must solve the exam **without** consulting any **extra documents** (e.g., course notes).
- Make sure your answers are readable. Do not use **red or green pens or pencils**.
- Please answer the exercises on the **exercise sheets**. If needed, also use the back sides of the exercise sheets.
- Answers on extra sheets can only be accepted if they are clearly marked with your name, your immatriculation number, and the **exercise number**.
- **Cross out** text that should not be considered in the evaluation.
- Students that try to cheat **do not pass** the exam.
- At the end of the exam, please return **all sheets together with the exercise sheets**.

Name:

Immatriculation Number:

**Exercise 1 (Theoretical Foundations):****(4 + 4 + 5 = 13 points)**

Let  $\varphi = p(0, 0) \wedge \forall X, Y (p(X, Y) \rightarrow p(Y, s(X)))$  and  $\psi = \exists Z p(Z, s(Z))$  be formulas over the signature  $(\Sigma, \Delta)$  with  $\Sigma = \Sigma_0 \cup \Sigma_1$ ,  $\Sigma_0 = \{0\}$ ,  $\Sigma_1 = \{s\}$ , and  $\Delta = \Delta_2 = \{p\}$ .

a) Prove that  $\{\varphi\} \models \psi$  by means of SLD resolution.

*Hint: First transform the formula  $\varphi \wedge \neg\psi$  into an equivalent clause set.*

b) Explicitly give a Herbrand model of the formula  $\varphi$  (i.e., specify a carrier and a meaning for all function and predicate symbols). You do not have to provide a proof for your answer.

c) Prove or disprove: If  $\mathcal{K}$  is a set of clauses without variables,  $S$  is a model of  $\mathcal{K}$ ,  $K_1, K_2 \in \mathcal{K}$  and  $R$  is a resolvent of  $K_1$  and  $K_2$ , then  $S$  is a model of  $\mathcal{K} \cup \{R\}$ .



**Name:**

**Immatriculation Number:**

---

Name:

Immatriculation Number:

**Exercise 2 (Procedural Semantics, SLD tree):****(5 + 5 = 10 points)**

Consider the following Prolog program  $\mathcal{P}$  which can be used to check whether a list contains 4 or 6, but it does not contain any 2 before the first 4 or 6.

```
e(2).
e(4).
e(6).
p([X|_]) :- e(X),!,not(X = 2).
p([_|XS]) :- p(XS).
not(X) :- X,!,fail.
not(_).
```

As an example, the query  $p([1,2,4,8])$  would not be provable (since it contains a 2 and there is no 4 or 6 before).

**a)** The program  $\mathcal{P}'$  results from  $\mathcal{P}$  by **removing both cuts**. Consider the following query:

```
?- p([1,2,4,8]).
```

For the logic program  $\mathcal{P}'$  (i.e., **without the cuts**), please show a successful computation for the query above (i.e., a computation of the form  $(G, \emptyset) \vdash_{\mathcal{P}'}^+ (\square, \sigma)$  where  $G = \{\neg p[1,2,4,8]\}$ ). You may leave out the negations in the queries.

Name:

Immatriculation Number:

b) Please give a graphical representation of the SLD tree for the query

?- p([1,4]).

in the program  $\mathcal{P}$  (i.e., **with the cuts**). For every part of a tree that is cut off by evaluating  $!$ , please indicate the cut by marking the corresponding edge. For the cut-off parts only indicate the first cut-off goal, but do not evaluate further.

Name:

Immatriculation Number:

**Exercise 3 (Fixpoint Semantics):**
**(5 + 3 + 3 = 11 points)**

Consider the following logic program  $\mathcal{P}$  over the signature  $(\Sigma, \Delta)$  with  $\Sigma = \{0, s\}$  and  $\Delta = \{p\}$ .

 $p(0, X)$ .


 $p(s(X), s(s(Y))) \text{ :- } p(X, Y)$ .

- a) For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  explicitly give  $\text{trans}_{\mathcal{P}}^n(\emptyset)$  in closed form, i.e., using a non-recursive definition.
- b) Compute the set  $\text{lfp}(\text{trans}_{\mathcal{P}})$ .
- c) Give  $F[\mathcal{P}, \{\neg p(s(s(0))), X\}]$ .

**Exercise 4 (Definite Logic Programming):**

**(7 + 7 = 14 points)**

- a) We consider Deterministic Finite Automata (DFAs). An example for such an automaton is given below. It accepts all words where the number of "a" characters in the word is even.



We encode this automaton into Prolog facts as follows:

```

start(s0).
final(s0).
delta(s0, a, s1).
delta(s1, a, s0).
delta(s1, b, s1).
delta(s0, b, s0).
  
```

As a quick reminder: A DFA is a five-tuple  $(Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$ . Here,  $Q$  is a set of states (in our case  $\{s_0, s_1\}$ ),  $\Sigma$  is the set of alphabet symbols (in our case  $\{a, b\}$ ). The transition function  $\delta: Q \times \Sigma \mapsto Q$  maps the current state to the next state given that a certain symbol from  $\Sigma$  was read. The automaton starts in the start state  $q_0$  and accepts the word if it stops in a final state from the set  $F \subseteq Q$  (in our case  $F = \{s_0\}$ ).

We say that an automaton  $(Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$  accepts a word  $w = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) \in \Sigma^n$  if there is a run  $q_0 \xrightarrow{a_1} q_1 \xrightarrow{a_2} q_2 \xrightarrow{a_3} \dots \xrightarrow{a_n} q_n$  such that for all  $i \in \{1 \dots, n\}$  it holds that  $\delta(q_{i-1}, a_i) = q_i$  and  $q_n \in F$ .

In the example above, we encoded the start state  $q_0$  with the fact `start(s0)`, the set of final states  $F$  is encoded by the fact `final(s0)`, the transition function is encoded by the `delta/3` predicate such that `delta( $q_i$ ,  $a$ ,  $q_j$ )` holds iff  $\delta(q_i, a) = q_j$ . The sets  $Q$  and  $\Sigma$  are implicitly defined by the arguments of `delta`.

Implement a predicate `accepts/1`. The query: `?- accepts(Word)` should succeed iff the DFA accepts the given word. In our example, the query `?- accepts([a,b,a])` should succeed but the query `?- accepts([a,b])` should fail. Your clause for `accepts` should work for any DFA (i.e., for any clauses defining `start`, `final`, and `delta`).

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Immatriculation Number: \_\_\_\_\_

b) Consider the set partition problem: Given a set  $S = \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$  of integer numbers, find a partition of  $S$  into two sets  $L$  and  $R$  such that

- $\sum_{a_i \in L} a_i = \sum_{a_i \in R} a_i$
- $L \cup R = S$
- $L \cap R = \emptyset$ .

Implement a predicate `partition/3` such that `?- partition(S,L,R)` succeeds iff  $L$  and  $R$  are a valid partition of  $S$ . For example, `partition([1,2,3],L,R)` should succeed with answer substitution  $L = [1,2]$ ,  $R = [3]$ . On lists with duplicate entries your implementation may behave arbitrarily.



Name:

Immatriculation Number:

**Exercise 5 (Universality):****(6 points)**

Consider a function  $f : \mathbb{N}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ . The function  $g : \mathbb{N}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  is defined as:

$$g(k_1, \dots, k_n, m) = k \text{ iff } f(k_1, \dots, k_n, k) = m \text{ and} \\ \text{for all } 0 \leq k' < k \text{ we have } f(k_1, \dots, k_n, k') \text{ is defined and } f(k_1, \dots, k_n, k') < m$$

As an example, consider the function  $\hat{f} : \mathbb{N}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  with  $\hat{f}(x, y, k) = x - y + k$ . The function  $\hat{g} : \mathbb{N}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ , constructed as described above, computes  $\hat{g}(2, 1, 3) = 2$ . The reason is that for  $x = 2, y = 1$ , 2 is the smallest  $k$  such that  $\hat{f}(x, y, k) = 3$  and  $\hat{f}(x, y, k') < 3$  for all  $0 \leq k' < k$ . Indeed,  $\hat{f}(2, 1, \mathbf{0}) = \mathbf{1}$ ,  $\hat{f}(2, 1, \mathbf{1}) = \mathbf{2}$ ,  $\hat{f}(2, 1, \mathbf{2}) = \mathbf{3}$ . On the other hand,  $\hat{g}(5, 0, 4)$  is undefined, because for  $k' = 0$  we already have  $\hat{f}(5, 0, 0) > 4$ .

Consider a definite logic program  $\mathcal{P}$  which computes the function  $f$  using a predicate symbol  $\underline{f} \in \Delta^{n+2}$ :

$$f(k_1, \dots, k_{n+1}) = k \text{ iff } \mathcal{P} \models \underline{f}(k_1, \dots, k_{n+1}, k).$$

Here, numbers are represented by terms built from  $0 \in \Sigma_0, s \in \Sigma_1$  (i.e.,  $\underline{0} = 0, \underline{1} = s(0), \underline{2} = s(s(0)), \dots$ ).

Please extend the definite logic program  $\mathcal{P}$  such that it also computes the function  $g$  using the predicate symbol  $\underline{g} \in \Delta^{n+2}$  (but without any built-in predicates):

$$g(k_1, \dots, k_n, m) = k \text{ iff } \mathcal{P} \models \underline{g}(k_1, \dots, k_n, m, k).$$

Name:

Immatriculation Number:

**Exercise 6 (Programming with CLP):**
**(6 points)**

In this task, we use Prolog to solve simple systems of equations. Here, the Prolog list

$$[[30, B, C], [20, A, C], [10, A, B]]$$

encodes the following system of equations.

$$30 = B + C$$

$$20 = A + C$$

$$10 = A + B$$

$$0 \leq A \leq 100$$

$$0 \leq B \leq 100$$

$$0 \leq C \leq 100$$

We require that variables may only be instantiated by integers between 0 and 100. The first element of every equation is always a constant. All other elements are always variables. There will always be at least one variable and there may be more variables than in the example. E.g.,  $[[5, A, B, C, D], [5, A]]$  would be a valid system of equations.

Implement a Prolog predicate `solve/1` that finds a satisfying solution for such equation systems. For example, the query `?- solve([[30, B, C], [20, A, C], [10, A, B]], label([A, B, C]))` should succeed with the unique substitution  $A = 0, B = 10, C = 20$ .

The following line is already given:

```
:- use_module(library(clpfd)).
```