

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\overline{\text{plus}(y, z)} \succ_{rpo} y} \text{emb}}{\{x, \text{plus}(y, z)\} \succ_{rpo} \text{mul}\{y, x\}} \text{mul}}{\text{times}(x, \text{plus}(y, z)) \succ_{rpo} \text{times}(y, x)} \text{3}}{\frac{\frac{\frac{\overline{\text{plus}(y, z)} \succ_{rpo} z} \text{emb}}{\{x, \text{plus}(y, z)\} \succ_{rpo} \text{mul}\{z, x\}} \text{mul}}{\text{times}(x, \text{plus}(y, z)) \succ_{rpo} \text{times}(z, x)} \text{3}} \text{2}}{\text{times}(x, \text{plus}(y, z)) \succ_{rpo} \text{plus}(\text{times}(y, x), \text{times}(z, x))} \text{2}$$

b) We choose the RPOS with precedence $p \sqsupset f \sqsupset b \sqsupset a$ and the following status s:

$$\begin{aligned}
 s(p) &= \langle 2, 1 \rangle \\
 s(f) &= \text{mul}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\overline{z = z}} \text{emb}}{\text{p}(b(y), z) \succ_{rpos} \text{p}(a(y), z)} \text{3}}{\text{p}(a(x), \text{p}(b(y), z)) \succ_{rpos} \text{p}(b(x), \text{p}(a(y), z))} \text{3}} = \frac{\frac{\frac{\overline{b(y)} \succ_{rpos} y} \text{emb}}{\text{b}(y) \succ_{rpos} a(y)} \text{2}}{\text{p}(a(x), \text{p}(b(y), z)) \succ_{rpos} x} \text{emb}}{\frac{\frac{\overline{\text{p}(a(x), \text{p}(b(y), z))} \text{emb}}{\text{p}(a(x), \text{p}(b(y), z)) \succ_{rpos} b(x)} \text{2}}{\text{p}(a(x), \text{p}(b(y), z)) \succ_{rpos} \text{p}(b(x), \text{p}(a(y), z))} \text{3}} \text{2}$$

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\overline{b(x)} \succ_{rpos} x} \text{emb}}{\text{b}(x) \succ_{rpos} a(x)} \text{2}}{\frac{\overline{\{b(x), y\} \succ_{rpos} \text{mul}\{y, a(x)\}} \text{mul}}{\text{f}(b(x), y) \succ_{rpos} \text{f}(y, a(x))} \text{3}}$$

c) We choose the LPOS with precedence $f \sqsupset \text{node} \sqsupset \text{leaf}$ and the following status s:

$$s(\text{node}) = \langle 2, 1 \rangle$$

$$\frac{\frac{\overline{\text{f}(\text{leaf})} \succ_{emb} \text{leaf} \text{emb}}{\text{f}(\text{node}(x, \text{leaf})) \succ_{lpos} \text{node}(\text{f}(x), \text{leaf})} \text{emb}}{\frac{\frac{\frac{\overline{\text{node}(x, \text{leaf})} \succ_{lpos} x} \text{emb}}{\text{f}(\text{node}(x, \text{leaf})) \succ_{lpos} \text{f}(x)} \text{3}}{\text{f}(\text{node}(x, \text{leaf})) \succ_{lpos} \text{leaf}} \text{2}} \text{2}}$$

$$\frac{\frac{\overline{\text{node}(y, z)} \succ_{lpos} z} \text{emb}}{\text{f}(\text{node}(x, \text{node}(y, z))) \succ_{lpos} \text{f}(\text{node}(\text{node}(x, y), z))} \text{emb}}{\frac{\frac{\frac{\overline{\text{node}(y, z)} \succ_{lpos} y} \text{emb}}{\text{node}(x, \text{node}(y, z)) \succ_{lpos} \text{node}(x, y)} \text{3}}{\text{node}(x, \text{node}(y, z)) \succ_{lpos} \text{node}(\text{node}(x, y), z)} \text{3}} \text{3}}$$

Exercise 2 (Reduction orders):

(3 + 3 + 4 = 10 points)

In this exercise, we will prove termination using the so called *polynomial* and *matrix orders*. In a polynomial order, one uses a polynomial interpretation \mathcal{P} that maps each function symbol f of arity n to a polynomial $f_{\mathcal{P}}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = c_0 + c_1x_1 + \dots + c_nx_n$ using the variables x_1, \dots, x_n and coefficients c_0, \dots, c_n from \mathbb{N} . Such an interpretation for function symbols can then be extended to terms using the following rules:

- $\mathcal{P}(x) := x$ for all variables x .

- $\mathcal{P}(f(t_1, \dots, t_n)) := f_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{P}(t_1), \dots, \mathcal{P}(t_n))$ for all terms $f(t_1, \dots, t_n)$.

As example, consider the term $t = \text{minus}(s(x), s(y))$. We choose $s_{\mathcal{P}}(x_1) = 1 + x_1$ and $\text{minus}_{\mathcal{P}}(x_1, x_2) = 1 + x_1 + x_2$. Then we have $\mathcal{P}(s(x)) = 1 + x$ and thus $\mathcal{P}(t) = 1 + (1 + x) + (1 + y) = 3 + x + y$.

Using \mathcal{P} , we can then define the polynomial order over $\mathcal{T}(\Sigma, \mathcal{V})$ such that $s \succ_{\mathcal{P}} t$ holds if and only if $\mathcal{P}(s) > \mathcal{P}(t)$ holds for all variable assignments with values from \mathbb{N} . As example, consider the rule

$$\text{minus}(s(x), s(y)) \rightarrow \text{minus}(x, y)$$

and our interpretation from above. We have $\text{minus}(s(x), s(y)) \succ_{\mathcal{P}} \text{minus}(x, y)$ as $\mathcal{P}(\text{minus}(s(x), s(y))) = 3 + x + y > 1 + x + y = \mathcal{P}(\text{minus}(x, y))$ holds for all natural numbers x, y .

Of course, to use $\succ_{\mathcal{P}}$ as a reduction order, we need to ensure that it is well founded, stable, and monotonic. To this end, one requires that in $f_{\mathcal{P}}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = c_0 + c_1x_1 + \dots + c_nx_n$, $c_i > 0$ holds for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. However, $c_0 = 0$ is allowed.

- a)** Show termination of the following TRS \mathcal{R}_1 using a polynomial interpretation \mathcal{P}_1 :

$$\begin{aligned} \text{plus}(\mathcal{O}, y) &\rightarrow y \\ \text{plus}(s(x), y) &\rightarrow s(\text{plus}(x, y)) \\ \text{plus}(s(x), y) &\rightarrow \text{plus}(x, s(y)) \end{aligned}$$

The signature of \mathcal{R}_1 is $\Sigma = \Sigma_0 \cup \Sigma_1 \cup \Sigma_2$, where $\Sigma_0 = \{\mathcal{O}\}$, $\Sigma_1 = \{s\}$ and $\Sigma_2 = \{\text{plus}\}$.

Give a polynomial $f_{\mathcal{P}_1}$ for each symbol f from Σ and show that $l \succ_{\mathcal{P}_1} r$ holds for all $l \rightarrow r \in \mathcal{R}_1$.

Hints:

- You do not need coefficients that are greater than 2.

- b)** Show termination of the following TRS \mathcal{R}_2 using a polynomial interpretation \mathcal{P}_2 :

$$\begin{aligned} f(s(s(x)), 42) &\rightarrow f(x, 23) \\ f(x, 23) &\rightarrow f(s(x), 42) \end{aligned}$$

The signature of \mathcal{R}_2 is $\Sigma = \Sigma_0 \cup \Sigma_1 \cup \Sigma_2$, where $\Sigma_0 = \{23, 42\}$, $\Sigma_1 = \{s\}$ and $\Sigma_2 = \{f\}$.

Give a polynomial $f_{\mathcal{P}_2}$ for each symbol f from Σ and show that $l \succ_{\mathcal{P}_2} r$ holds for all $l \rightarrow r \in \mathcal{R}_2$.

Hints:

- You do not need coefficients that are greater than 3.

- c)** An extension of polynomial order are *matrix orders*.¹ A matrix interpretation maps each term to a *vector* from \mathbb{N}^k , where k may be > 1 . The coefficients in the interpretations for the function symbols are not numbers, but instead *matrices* of numbers.

For example, for $k = 2$, we define a matrix interpretation \mathcal{M} that maps each function symbol f of arity n to a function

$$f_{\mathcal{M}}\left(\begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ y_1 \end{pmatrix}, \dots, \begin{pmatrix} x_n \\ y_n \end{pmatrix}\right) = \begin{pmatrix} c_{0,1} \\ d_{0,1} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} c_{1,1} & c_{1,2} \\ d_{1,1} & d_{1,2} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ y_1 \end{pmatrix} + \dots + \begin{pmatrix} c_{n,1} & c_{n,2} \\ d_{n,1} & d_{n,2} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} x_n \\ y_n \end{pmatrix}$$

using the variables $x_1, y_1, \dots, x_n, y_n$ and the coefficients $c_{i,j}, d_{i,j}$ from \mathbb{N} . Here $+$ and \cdot are standard matrix addition and multiplication, respectively. Similar to polynomial interpretations, such an interpretation for function symbols can then be extended to terms as follows:

- $\mathcal{M}(x) := \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix}$ for all variables x .
- $\mathcal{M}(f(t_1, \dots, t_n)) := f_{\mathcal{M}}(\mathcal{M}(t_1), \dots, \mathcal{M}(t_n))$ for all terms $f(t_1, \dots, t_n)$.

¹This class of orders was discovered only recently in 2006.

To compare vectors, we define

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \end{pmatrix} > \begin{pmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

iff $a_1 > b_1$ and $a_2 \geq b_2$.² Using \mathcal{M} , we define the matrix order over $\mathcal{T}(\Sigma, \mathcal{V})$ such that $s \succ_{\mathcal{M}} t$ holds if and only if $\mathcal{M}(s) > \mathcal{M}(t)$ holds for all variable assignments with values from \mathbb{N} . To ensure that matrix orders are reduction orders, we require that in

$$f_{\mathcal{M}}\left(\begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ y_1 \end{pmatrix}, \dots, \begin{pmatrix} x_n \\ y_n \end{pmatrix}\right) = \begin{pmatrix} c_{0,1} \\ d_{0,2} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} c_{1,1} & c_{1,2} \\ d_{1,1} & d_{1,2} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ y_1 \end{pmatrix} + \dots + \begin{pmatrix} c_{n,1} & c_{n,2} \\ d_{n,1} & d_{n,2} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} x_n \\ y_n \end{pmatrix}$$

$c_{i,1} > 0$ holds for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. All other $c_{i,j}, d_{i,j}$ may also be 0.

One can find matrix orders $\succ_{\mathcal{M}}$ and terms s, t with $s \succ_{\mathcal{M}} t$ although $t \succ_{emb} s$ holds. In other words, matrix orders are not necessarily simplification orders. We will now benefit from this property of matrix orders to prove termination of the term rewriting system \mathcal{R}_3 :

$$f(f(x)) \rightarrow f(g(f(x)))$$

The signature of \mathcal{R}_3 is $\Sigma = \Sigma_1$, where $\Sigma_1 = \{f, g\}$. The TRS \mathcal{R}_3 was presented in the lecture as an example for a TRS where termination cannot be proved using any simplification order.

Give a matrix interpretation \mathcal{M}_3 and show that $f(f(x)) \succ_{\mathcal{M}_3} f(g(f(x)))$ holds.

Hints:

- You do not need coefficients that are greater than 1.
- We provide part of a possible solution:

$$f_{\mathcal{M}_3}\left(\begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ y_1 \end{pmatrix}\right) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a_{1,2} \\ b_{1,1} & b_{1,2} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ y_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$g_{\mathcal{M}_3}\left(\begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ y_1 \end{pmatrix}\right) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 1 & c_{1,2} \\ d_{1,1} & d_{1,2} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ y_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Solution: _____

a) (i) We use the following interpretation:

$$\mathcal{P}_1(\mathcal{O}) = 0$$

$$\mathcal{P}_1(s(x_1)) = 1 + x_1$$

$$\mathcal{P}_1(\text{plus}(x_1, x_2)) = 1 + 2x_1 + x_2$$

Using these, we can show that each rule is strictly decreasing:

$$\mathcal{P}_1(\text{plus}(\mathcal{O}, y)) = 1 + y > y = \mathcal{P}_1(y)$$

$$\mathcal{P}_1(\text{plus}(s(x), y)) = 3 + 2x + y > 2 + 2x + y = \mathcal{P}_1(s(\text{plus}(x, y)))$$

$$\mathcal{P}_1(\text{plus}(s(x), y)) = 3 + 2x + y > 2 + 2x + y = \mathcal{P}_1(\text{plus}(x, s(y)))$$

(ii) We use the following interpretation:

$$\mathcal{P}_2(23) = 1$$

$$\mathcal{P}_2(42) = 0$$

$$\mathcal{P}_2(s(x_1)) = 1 + x_1$$

$$\mathcal{P}_2(f(x_1, x_2)) = 2x_1 + 3x_2$$

²Note that for well-foundedness, one does not have to require $a_2 > b_2$.

Using these, we can show that each rule is strictly decreasing:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_2(f(s(s(x))), 42) &= 4 + 2x > 3 + 2x &= \mathcal{P}_2(f(x, 23)) \\ \mathcal{P}_2(f(x, 23) &= 3 + 2x > 2 + 2x &= \mathcal{P}_2(f(s(x), 42)) \end{aligned}$$

b) We use the following interpretation:

$$f_{\mathcal{M}_3}\left(\begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ y_1 \end{pmatrix}\right) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ y_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$g_{\mathcal{M}_3}\left(\begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ y_1 \end{pmatrix}\right) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ y_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

With this interpretation, we can show that the single rule of \mathcal{R}_3 is strictly decreasing:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_3(f(f(x))) &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 + 2x_1 + 2x_2 \\ 2 + 2x_1 + 2x_2 \end{pmatrix} \\ &> \begin{pmatrix} 0 + x_1 + x_2 \\ 1 + x_1 + x_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} = \mathcal{M}_3(f(g(f(x)))) \end{aligned}$$

Exercise 3 (Unification): **(Only relevant for diploma students: 3 + 3 = 6 points)**

Apply the algorithm UNIFY from the lecture to compute a most general unifier for the following sets of terms:

- i) $\{f(h(x_1), f(x_3, x_4)), f(x_2, f(x_4, x_2)), f(x_3, f(x_2, x_2))\}$
- ii) $\{f(h(x_1), f(x_3, x_4)), f(x_2, f(x_4, x_2)), f(x_1, f(x_2, x_2))\}$

Include all intermediate sets that are created in the computation and note which rule you applied to generate each of the sets from its predecessor in the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} \{h(a) =? h(x)\} &\implies \text{(term reduction)} \\ \{a =? x\} &\implies \text{(swap)} \\ \{x =? a\} & \end{aligned}$$

If the computation fails, note the type of the error.

Solution: _____

$y_1/f(y_0, y_0), y_2/f(f(y_0, y_0), f(y_0, y_0)), x_0/f(y_0, y_0)\}$.

- $\{g(x_1, x_2, f(y_0, y_0), f(y_1, y_1), f(y_2, y_2)) =^? g(f(x_0, x_0), f(x_1, x_1), y_1, y_2, x_2)\}$ \implies (term reduction)
- $\{x_1 =^? f(x_0, x_0), x_2 =^? f(x_1, x_1), f(y_0, y_0) =^? y_1, f(y_1, y_1) =^? y_2, f(y_2, y_2) =^? x_2\}$ \implies (variable reduction)
- $\{x_1 =^? f(x_0, x_0), x_2 =^? f(f(x_0, x_0), f(x_0, x_0)), f(y_0, y_0) =^? y_1, f(y_1, y_1) =^? y_2, f(y_2, y_2) =^? x_2\}$ \implies (variable reduction)
- $\{x_1 =^? f(x_0, x_0), x_2 =^? f(f(x_0, x_0), f(x_0, x_0)), f(y_0, y_0) =^? y_1, f(y_1, y_1) =^? y_2, f(y_2, y_2) =^? f(f(x_0, x_0), f(x_0, x_0))\}$ \implies (swap)
- $\{x_1 =^? f(x_0, x_0), x_2 =^? f(f(x_0, x_0), f(x_0, x_0)), y_1 =^? f(y_0, y_0), f(y_1, y_1) =^? y_2, f(y_2, y_2) =^? f(f(x_0, x_0), f(x_0, x_0))\}$ \implies (variable reduction)
- $\{x_1 =^? f(x_0, x_0), x_2 =^? f(f(x_0, x_0), f(x_0, x_0)), y_1 =^? f(y_0, y_0), f(f(y_0, y_0), f(y_0, y_0)) =^? y_2, f(y_2, y_2) =^? f(f(x_0, x_0), f(x_0, x_0))\}$ \implies (swap)
- $\{x_1 =^? f(x_0, x_0), x_2 =^? f(f(x_0, x_0), f(x_0, x_0)), y_1 =^? f(y_0, y_0), y_2 =^? f(f(y_0, y_0), f(y_0, y_0)), f(y_2, y_2) =^? f(f(x_0, x_0), f(x_0, x_0))\}$ \implies (variable reduction)
- $\{x_1 =^? f(x_0, x_0), x_2 =^? f(f(x_0, x_0), f(x_0, x_0)), y_1 =^? f(y_0, y_0), y_2 =^? f(f(y_0, y_0), f(y_0, y_0)), f(f(f(y_0, y_0), f(y_0, y_0)), f(y_0, y_0)) =^? f(f(x_0, x_0), f(x_0, x_0))\}$ \implies (term reduction)
- $\{x_1 =^? f(x_0, x_0), x_2 =^? f(f(x_0, x_0), f(x_0, x_0)), y_1 =^? f(y_0, y_0), y_2 =^? f(f(y_0, y_0), f(y_0, y_0)), f(f(y_0, y_0), f(y_0, y_0)) =^? f(x_0, x_0)\}$ \implies (delete)
- $\{x_1 =^? f(x_0, x_0), x_2 =^? f(f(x_0, x_0), f(x_0, x_0)), y_1 =^? f(y_0, y_0), y_2 =^? f(f(y_0, y_0), f(y_0, y_0)), f(f(y_0, y_0), f(y_0, y_0)) =^? f(x_0, x_0)\}$ \implies (term reduction)
- $\{x_1 =^? f(x_0, x_0), x_2 =^? f(f(x_0, x_0), f(x_0, x_0)), y_1 =^? f(y_0, y_0), y_2 =^? f(f(y_0, y_0), f(y_0, y_0)), f(y_0, y_0) =^? x_0, f(y_0, y_0) =^? x_0\}$ \implies (delete)
- $\{x_1 =^? f(x_0, x_0), x_2 =^? f(f(x_0, x_0), f(x_0, x_0)), y_1 =^? f(y_0, y_0), y_2 =^? f(f(y_0, y_0), f(y_0, y_0)), f(y_0, y_0) =^? x_0\}$ \implies (swap)
- $\{x_1 =^? f(x_0, x_0), x_2 =^? f(f(x_0, x_0), f(x_0, x_0)), y_1 =^? f(y_0, y_0), y_2 =^? f(f(y_0, y_0), f(y_0, y_0)), x_0 =^? f(y_0, y_0)\}$ \implies (variable reduction)
- $\{x_1 =^? f(f(y_0, y_0), f(y_0, y_0)), x_2 =^? f(f(f(y_0, y_0), f(y_0, y_0)), f(y_0, y_0)), f(f(y_0, y_0), f(y_0, y_0)), y_1 =^? f(y_0, y_0), y_2 =^? f(f(y_0, y_0), f(y_0, y_0)), x_0 =^? f(y_0, y_0)\}$