

Notes:

- Please solve these exercises in **groups of two!**
- The solutions must be handed in **directly before (very latest: at the beginning of)** the exercise course on Friday, January 29th, 2016, in lecture hall **AH 1**. Alternatively you can drop your solutions into a box which is located right next to Prof. Giesl's office (until the exercise course starts).
- Please write the **names** and **immatriculation numbers** of all (two) students on your solution. Please staple the individual sheets!

Exercise 1 (Definition-Principle):

(3 + 3 + 2 + 5 = 13 points)

Let Σ be a signature with $\Sigma_0 \neq \emptyset$. We call a set S of terms *complete for Σ* iff for each $t \in \mathcal{T}(\Sigma)$, there is an $s \in S$ which matches t .

- Please prove: If a set S of terms is complete for Σ , then
 - $S \cap \mathcal{V} \neq \emptyset$ or
 - for each $c \in \Sigma$, $\{s \mid s \in S, \text{root}(s) = c\} \neq \emptyset$ and each set $S_i^c = \{s_i \mid c(s_1, \dots, s_n) \in S\}$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, is complete for Σ .
- Please prove: If each $f \in \Sigma$ has arity 0 or 1, then the other direction of the implication from exercise part **a)** holds as well (i.e., in this case, the implication from **a)** is an equivalence).
- Please prove: In general, the other direction of the implication from exercise part **a)** does *not* hold (i.e., in general, the implication from **a)** is *not* an equivalence).
- Please prove: The question if a *unary TRS* (uTRS) is completely defined is decidable. A uTRS is a TRS over a signature $\Sigma = \Sigma^d \cup \Sigma^c$ where each $f \in \Sigma$ has arity 0 or 1. In other words, for uTRSs, it is decidable if for each $f \in \Sigma^d$ and all $t_1, \dots, t_n \in \mathcal{T}(\Sigma^c)$ there is a rule $\ell \rightarrow r \in \mathcal{R}$ such that ℓ matches $f(t_1, \dots, t_n)$. To do so, give a decision procedure and prove its correctness.

Hints:

- For each term $s \notin \mathcal{V}$, $\text{root}(s)$ denotes the outermost function symbol of s .
- You may use the claims from exercise part **a)** and **b)** to prove **d)** even if you could not prove them.

Exercise 2 (Implicit Induction):

(3 + 3 = 6 points)

The following convergent TRS \mathcal{R} over the signature $\Sigma = \{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{nil}, \mathbf{cons}\}$ defines list-concatenation:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{a}(\mathbf{nil}, z) &\rightarrow z \\ \mathbf{a}(\mathbf{cons}(x, y), z) &\rightarrow \mathbf{cons}(x, \mathbf{a}(y, z)) \end{aligned}$$

Prove or disprove the following statements for the corresponding system of equations (which results from replacing \rightarrow by \equiv).

- $\mathcal{E} \models_I \mathbf{a}(\mathbf{a}(x, y), z) \equiv \mathbf{a}(x, \mathbf{a}(y, z))$
- $\mathcal{E} \models_I \mathbf{a}(x, x) \equiv x$